VILLAGE OF PLEASANT PRAIRIE PLEASANT PRAIRIE VILLAGE BOARD PLEASANT PRAIRIE WATER UTILITY PLEASANT PRAIRIE SEWER UTILITY

9915 - 39th Avenue Pleasant Prairie, WI October 7, 2013 6:00 p.m.

A regular meeting of the Pleasant Prairie Village Board was held on Monday, October 7. Meeting called to order at 6:00 p.m. Present were Village Board members John Steinbrink, Monica Yuhas, Steve Kumorkiewicz, Clyde Allen and Mike Serpe. Also present were Michael Pollocoff, Village Administrator; Tom Shircel, Assistant Administrator; Jean Werbie-Harris, Community Development Director; Kathy Goessl, Finance Director; Dave Smetana, Police Chief; Doug McElmury; Fire & Rescue Chief; Mike Spence, Village Engineer; John Steinbrink Jr., Public Works Director; Dan Honore, IT Director; Carol Willke, HR and Recreation Director and Jane M. Romanowski, Village Clerk. Two citizens attended the meeting.

1. CALL TO ORDER

2. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE- CUB SCOUT NASH PACK 567 WEBELOS DEN

John Steinbrink:

Today we have with us Cub Scout Nash Pack 567, the Webelos Den. And they're going to lead us in the Pledge of Allegiance. Well done. Can somebody come up and tell us a little bit about your troop?

Matthew Hupp:

We are Den 1 from Pack 567, and we are right now Webelos 1. And we are here because we're going towards earning our citizenship badge, and this is one of the requirements for earning that badge.

John Steinbrink:

Thank you. And can you introduce yourself and maybe the rest of your pack? And when you introduce them they'll just kind of stand up and we'll know who is who.

Matthew Hupp:

I'm Matthew Hupp. Right over there is Mason Turnen, and then Aaron Hoe, Gavin Brown, Ian Harding, Ethan Weinstein and Austin Weinstein.

John Steinbrink:

Alright, we've got one gentleman sitting in the front there. Who is that?

Village Board Meeting October 7, 2013
Matthew Hupp:
That's Max Kiernen.
John Steinbrink:
Alright. Does everybody got plans of being an Eagle Scout working on all the badges? Alright Well, thank you very much for leading us in the pledge, and I hope you enjoy the meeting this evening.
Matthew Hupp:
Thank you.
Michael Serpe:
You're going to be part of the most interesting meetings you're ever going to attend in your life.
3. ROLL CALL
4. MINUTES OF MEETING - SEPTEMBER 16, 2013
Monica Yuhas:
Motion to approve.
Steve Kumorkiewicz:
Second.
John Steinbrink:
Motion by Monica, second by Steve. Any discussion?
YUHAS MOVED TO APPROVE THE MINUTES OF THE SEPTEMBER 16, 2013 VILLAGE BOARD MEETING AS PRESENTED IN THEIR WRITTEN FORM; SECONDED BY KUMORKIEWICZ; MOTION CARRIED 5-0.

5. CITIZEN COMMENTS

John Steinbrink:

Anyone wishing to speak under citizens' comments? Hearing none we're going to close citizens' comments.

6. ADMINISTRATOR'S REPORT

Mike Pollocoff:

Nothing tonight, Mr. President.

7. NEW BUSINESS

A. Consider Proclamation designating October 2013 Breast Cancer Awareness Month.

John Steinbrink:

And as you notice everybody up here has a pink tie on except me. It's not that I'm not for it but I did not get the memo or forgot the memo, one or the other.

Jane Romanowski:

This is from the office of the Village President. Whereas, for twenty seven years, National Breast Cancer Awareness Month has educated the public about the importance of early detection for breast cancer, and; Whereas, since the inception of National Breast Cancer Awareness Month, mammography use has doubled and breast cancer death rates have declined, and; Whereas, mammography is the best available method of detecting breast changes that may be cancer long before physical symptoms can be seen or felt, and; Whereas, a further decline in breast cancer death rates could be achieved through the use of mammography at regular intervals, and; Whereas, we recognize the immeasurable, lifesaving value of educating the public about the importance of early detection for breast cancer; Now, therefore, I, John P. Steinbrink, President of the Village of Pleasant Prairie, do hereby proclaim the week of October 6 – 12, 2013 as Breast Cancer Awareness Week in the Village of Pleasant Prairie and encourage citizens to increase their awareness of the benefits of early breast cancer detection. Given, under my hand and seal of the Village of Pleasant Prairie this 7th day of October 2013.

-
John Steinbrink:
Thank you, Jane.
Clyde Allen:
Motion to approve.
Michael Serpe:
Second.
John Steinbrink:
Motion by Clyde, second by Mike. Any further discussion?

ALLEN MOVED TO ADOPT THE PROCLAMATION DESIGNATING OCTOBER 6-12, 2013 BREAST CANCER AWARENESS WEEK; SECONDED BY SERPE; MOTION CARRIED 5-0.

B. Receive Plan Commission recommendation and consider Ordinance #13-45 to amend Chapter 420 Attachment 3 Appendix C Specific Development Plan 31entitled Cobblestone Creek Planned Unit Development Unit Development (PUD) located south of Prairie Ridge Blvd. between 91st and 94th Avenues and north of 80th Street.

Jean Werbie-Harris:

Mr. President and members of the Board and the audience, this is a request for a zoning text amendment Ordinance 13-45, and this is to modify a planned unit development that was put into place by the Village for the Cobblestone Apartment Development that's located south of Prairie Ridge Boulevard between 91st and 94th Avenues and north of 80th Street. The development is in the R-11 which is a Multiple Family District with a PUD overlay which is a Planned Unit Development Overlay.

Specifically, the project that was approved and is under construction was for the development of four apartment buildings, two 40-unit buildings and two 48-unit buildings for a total of 176 apartment units which included some efficiency units, one bedroom and two bedroom units. In addition, there was also a clubhouse that was built on the site kind of in the center of the various buildings.

The developer has been very successful with respect to this project. The first 40-unit building was completed on July 1st and is now occupied. They have started the next, and they have continued down the line, and they hope to have all the buildings under construction and completed within the year. The project has been very, very successful for them. The one modification, though, and the reason for the PUD this evening is that they are modifying the buildings to be a 36-unit instead of a 40-unit, and two 44-unit instead of two 48-units. What they found is that the single efficiencies by themselves were a little small. And so they're converting some of those to the two unit apartments.

So with that because of that modification and adjustment in size and a modification slightly in the plans this does require a modification in the PUD which is a zoning text amendment. This is a matter that was before the Village Plan Commission at their last meeting. The staff and the Plan Commission recommend approval. In your packets and in your information there is some very specific information that shows you exactly how each of the modifications are being made. Basically we're just adjusting some numbers and adjusting so that we have the modified plans. The staff is recommending approval as presented.

Steve Kumorkiewicz:

Move to approve.

Monica Yuhas: Second. John Steinbrink: Motion by Steve, second by Monica. Any further discussion on this item? Steve Kumorkiewicz: Yes, a question, Jean. The west unit is going to remain 40 units? Jean Werbie-Harris: Yes, that's correct. The initial one is going to remain the way it was originally platted and originally constructed. Again, this doesn't mean that they're eliminating all the efficiencies in the other buildings. They're just modifying a couple of the units. They still have something like 18 different floor plans. They have a great variety, but they are finding that there's a little bit more of a demand for a one bedroom with a loft or a two bedroom or an efficiency with another loft. And then they're also making some minor modifications with respect to the bathrooms. So, for example, if you have a one bedroom they're making some modifications so that the bathroom is on the first floor with that one bedroom. And then there might be an efficiency or loft upstairs, but it has just a smaller bathroom upstairs, not a full bath. So they're really listening to the market and listening to what the tenants are requesting. They had a waiting list and they still do. And they think the project will finish up a little bit quicker than they actually anticipated. Steve Kumorkiewicz: Thank you. John Steinbrink:

Village Board Meeting

October 7, 2013

KUMORKIEWICZ MOVED TO CONCUR WITH THE PLAN COMMISSION RECOMMENDATION AND ADOPT ORDINANCE #13-45 TO AMEND CHAPTER 420 ATTACHMENT 3 APPENDIX C SPECIFIC DEVELOPMENT PLAN 31ENTITLED COBBLESTONE CREEK PLANNED UNIT DEVELOPMENT UNIT DEVELOPMENT (PUD) LOCATED SOUTH OF PRAIRIE RIDGE BLVD. BETWEEN 91ST AND 94TH AVENUES AND NORTH OF 80TH STREET SECONDED BY YUHAS; MOTION CARRIED 5-0.

Any further comment or question?

If not, we have a motion and a second. Those in favor?

C. Consider an award of contract for cleaning services at the Village Hall and Roger Prange Municipal Center.

John Steinbrink, Jr.:

Mr. President and members of the Board, on September 17th a request for a proposal of cleaning services was reissued to Vendor Net and was sent to 137 cleaning companies. It was also advertised in the *Kenosha News* legal section for two weeks. As you recall from the last meeting we only had one bid received last time. And so we rejected that bid and put it back out again.

And so on October 1st this month we opened bids for the cleaning services for the Prange Center and Village Hall, and we did receive four bids. They were from Clean and Green Solutions, DK Solutions, SCC Cleaning Company and Clean Power. The current contract holder, Iglesias Super Clean has sold their existing contract and has cancelled their contract with the Village for \$4,965 monthly with Pleasant Prairie effective October 6th, this Sunday. Clean Power who I am recommending for the award is currently providing cleaning services to Waukesha County where for them they do their admin, their public works and their jail facilities; Milwaukee County Behavioral Health; Johnson Financial Group; Modine Manufacturing and many other businesses in the area.

We did call the references to confirm that those companies have been happy with them. Especially we thought we really paralleled Waukesha County with the admin and the jail and the public works. They've been cleaning their building since 2004 and had nothing but great things to say for them. So I recommend a contract be awarded to Clean Power in the amount of \$4,240.86 per month to provide cleaning services at the Prange Municipal Center, and that's both the PD and the public works side, and Village Hall for a three year contract to be paid for that amount. The contract will begin on October 14th and terminate October 13, 2016. I can answer any questions.

Michael Serpe:

John, are any of these companies local?

John Steinbrink, Jr.:

Clean and Green Solutions is local, but they were the most pricey by quite a bit.

Michael Serpe:

Okay, thank you. I move to approve the contract for Clean Power \$4,240.86.

Steve Kumorkiewicz:

Second.

John Steinbrink:

Motion by Mike, second by Steve for adoption of the contract with Clean Power. Any further discussion?

Steve Kumorkiewicz:

They've got to be a big outfit, don't they?

John Steinbrink, Jr.:

Excuse me?

Steve Kumorkiewicz:

It's got to be a large outfit.

John Steinbrink, Jr.:

Yeah, they are a large contract. They do have a lot of employees.

John Steinbrink:

Further comment or question?

SERPE MOVED TO AWARD A CONTRACT FOR CLEANING SERVICES AT THE VILLAGE HALL AND ROGER PRANGE MUNICIPAL CENTER TO CLEAN POWER IN THE AMOUNT OF \$4,240.86 PER MONTH; SECONDED BY KUMORKIEWICZ; MOTION CARRIED 5-0.

D. Consider Ordinance #13-46 to amend Chapter 119 of the Municipal Code relating to dog licensing and regulations.

Jane Romanowski:

Mr. President, after our three recent vicious dog hearings, it was discussed that possibly we would eliminate the vicious dog license and rework our ordinance. And so the Police Chief, Mike and I sat down and discussed the changes that we'd like to see to the ordinance. And the ordinance was drafted, and that's what's proposed tonight for your consideration. I did put the current ordinance in there. It was kind of hard to do a red line version because there was so much change and moving paragraphs. And with my memo I explained it, but I'll just give you kind of a brief overview of it. And then if you have any questions either the Chief, Mike or I can probably answer them.

So in the current ordinance we took away the vicious dog and the wolf hybrid animal licenses, eliminated. They kind of mirrored each other. We've never used any part of the ordinance

regarding wolf hybrid animals. But, as you know, we've been through some vicious hearings. So eliminating the vicious dog license and the references to the wolf hybrid animals I did incorporate a paragraph, paragraph F, that I indicated that the wolf hybrid dogs are not permitted in the Village and will not be licensed. So that basically took three pages of the ordinances and put it into one sentence. And I talked to Don Mayew about that. He was the one that drafted the supplement to the wolf hybrid animal ordinance back in 1995. And so we had a nice long discussion about it and he agreed with this change.

We have updated the definitions a little bit. As you can see from one to the other there aren't a lot of changes, probably typed them out a little bit better. Changed the term vicious to dangerous. We were looking at other ordinances, the City of Kenosha's, Eau Claire's, might have had another one in there. So we were just kind of working with a few different ordinances and decided to change that term. As we've been through, the determination of the Police Chief and notice of request for hearing, the hearing, impoundment and all that language has been retained from the previous ordinance. Probably tightened up a little bit more about what happens at a hearing just so the Board has clear definition as to what their role is if a hearing does take place on an appeal.

The regulations to sell or transfer a dangerous dog out of the Village are unchanged. They still have to give notice. I still have to notify the municipality. Those have remained unchanged. We kept all that language. We did address the current vicious dog license that's issued. We have one license that's issued under the new Section 119-4. And basically what's going to happen if they violate any of the regulations that are in effect before this ordinance takes place then the dog is immediately impounded, and it's going to go through the same process as what we're proposing tonight, and I'll explain that. So if they violate anything, the dog is outside without a muzzle, he doesn't keep his insurance current, he's out of his cage or the signage is gone, any one of those regulations that are in place now if he violates them the dog can be impounded immediately, and then I'll let you know as we go along here. They follow the ordinance as proposed tonight.

So basically in summary what's going to happen is if the Police Chief determines the dog is dangerous, and this is the same instance, if they request a hearing appealing it, the owner has ten days to do these things. Within the ten days they either authorize the dog to be humanely destroyed, they request a hearing, they sell or transfer the dangerous dog out of the Village. If they do nothing within that ten days the dog is humanely destroyed at the owner's expense. We'll give them the ten days. If there's a hearing then by ordinance, I'm pretty sure we kept them the same time limits, between ten and thirty days I think it is, then we'll have a hearing like we did before.

And then the Board determines that they either agree with the Police Chief's determination or the dog is not dangerous. If they agree with the determination they've got ten days to either authorize the dog to be destroyed or sell it or transfer it out of the Village. If they do nothing, again, the Village takes care of that at the owner's expense. If the Board decides that the Police Chief's determination was incorrect and the dog is not dangerous, the dog is immediately returned to the owner and the Village pays the impoundment fees because we've impounded the dog.

And, again, non response to the determination means that the dog can be humanely destroyed at the owner's expense. They've got the ten days. So we tightened all that up quite a bit. Again, we added a little bit more about the hearing so the Board knows exactly what their role is. And all other provisions regarding the confinement and the disposition of the dog, violations, running at large, removal of animal waste, all the rest of those paragraphs as I indicated in my memo are remained unchanged. So that's what's proposed tonight. And, again, if you have any questions it could be for the Police Chief or Mike.

Michael Serpe:

Has any consideration been given to outlawing pit bulls in the Village?

Mike Pollocoff:

No, we didn't. It seems like we received some legal advice a while back that outlawing a breed was a problem. But we know historically almost all of our vicious dogs have been pit bulls with the exception of the bullmastiff which was the last one we did. So I think with this ordinance what we're trying to get to is a point where a resident still has that protection of getting some due process with the Board to make their case. But the problems that we were having with this is that some of the liability we were taking on by saying the dog was okay if it gets insurance or managing that insurance and getting the dog neutered and verifying that, our last one we had everything covered and then the Humane Society turned him loose before everything was all ready. Really what a lot of people were doing is they had someplace else they could take that dog and get it out of the community, and then that resolved our problems. They were still their dog wherever it was going.

Michael Serpe:

I make a motion to approve Ordinance 13-46.

Clyde Allen:

Second.

John Steinbrink:

Motion by Mike, second by Clyde for adoption of Ordinance 13-46. Further comment or question?

SERPE MOVED TO ADOPT ORDINANCE #13-46 TO AMEND CHAPTER 119 OF THE MUNICIPAL CODE RELATING TO DOG LICENSING AND REGULATIONS; SECONDED BY ALLEN; MOTION CARRIED 5-0.

E. Consider Ordinance #13-47 to amend Chapter 250-3 of the Municipal Code relating to an exception to discharge a firearm.

Jane Romanowski:

This is just a cleanup ordinance. As you can see the question as asked at the counter a couple weeks ago, and that kind of brought to our attention that somebody right off Springbrook Road wanted to start skeet shooting. And they saw that it could be approved by the Village Board, but that wasn't the intent of the ordinance. And as they read it they understood that it just doesn't happen anywhere. So conditional use permits haven't been brought before the Village Board for approval, as you can see, since June 7th of 1999. So it's just a cleanup saying that it has to be allowed in an underlying zoning district. It would go to the Plan Commission. Just something that came to our attention and we're cleaning up.

Clyde	All	len:
-------	-----	------

Motion to approve.

Michael Serpe:

Second.

John Steinbrink:

Motion by Clyde, second by Mike for adoption of Ordinance 13-47. Further discussion on this item?

ALLEN MOVED TO ADOPT ORDINANCE #13-47 TO AMEND CHAPTER 250-3 OF THE MUNICIPAL CODE RELATING TO AN EXCEPTION TO DISCHARGE A FIREARM; SECONDED BY SERPE; MOTION CARRIED 5-0.

F. Consider Ordinance #13-48 to amend Chapter 370 relating to Certificates of Occupancy or Compliance.

Mike Pollocoff:

Mr. President, I'd ask we also consider Item 7G. They're two separate ordinances, but I think the discussion is pertinent to both.

G. Consider Ordinance #13-49 to amend Chapter 180 of the Municipal Code relating to Fie & Rescue Occupancy Compliance.

Mike Spence:

Mr. President and members of the Board, the chapters in these sections refer to certificate of occupancy. And what we're trying to do is clean -- a lot of times when there are non life

threatening issues for buildings we will allow a verbal occupancy. And typically what happens after an entity gets a verbal occupancy they don't follow through to have us come out and do a final inspection to issue a written certificate of occupancy. So these changes that we're recommending tonight actually puts time frame when after we issue a verbal occupancy there's going to be a time frame where they have to come back and request a final inspection. In the case of a verbal occupancy we're saying 30 days or other time that the inspector -- if it's a minor issue that the need, maybe 40 days, the inspector has that latitude.

And then we're putting in here failure to comply with this provision could result in violations and penalties as proscribed in Chapter 370-26 of the Village code. The final issue relative to Chapter 370 is for one and two family projects. Again, outstanding issues have to be resolved within one year of the issuance of verbal occupancy. Typically these are very minor things, and we don't have the resources to bird dog all of these. So what this does is it makes them liable to get back to the Village so that we can do a final inspection and actually give them a written certificate of occupancy.

Chief McElmury:

The additions applied to Chapter 180 for the Fire & Rescue ordinance is a complete addition. We haven't had any language that addresses certificate of occupancies before. We actually mirrored the language in both the community development ordinance and in the building inspection ordinance in 420 and 370. So it kind of dovetails in nicely with very similar language and would tie into the penalties. A lot of the issues that are still outstanding when we give a verbal to occupy are fire and rescue related, usually paperwork issues. We ask for a letter from the sprinkler company that says that the sprinkler system is 100 percent complete, the alarm system is 100 percent complete. So if there was ever an issue later and there was a failure or a problem that the contractor wouldn't be able to come back and say, oh, we weren't done with that yet. These are the types of things we're usually waiting for. All the life safety issues are corrected prior to issuing a verbal. And so the language that we put in here allows us to give a verbal which is something that we had done. It just kind of dovetails in nicely with the other ordinances.

Michael Serpe:

Do we have the capability on our computers that it will automatically remind us in 30 days? If we give a 30 day occupancy verbal do we have the capability of that automatically popping up to remind us?

Chief McElmury:

Yeah, our records management system, Firehouse, actually has a thing that allows us to reschedule that. And what we were lacking before was specific penalties to really encourage the owner of the structure or the occupant in the event of like a retail establishment to do that. And now with the language in Mike's ordinances and changes in ours we have some teeth to make sure that happens.

John Steinbrink:

Any other questions for Doug? Just a note that this is Fire Chief Doug McElmury, Village of Pleasant Prairie Fire Department. And Doug was an Eagle Scout when he was younger. He can tie more knots than anybody I know and even helped Mike untie his shoe one time.

Clyde Allen:

Motion to approve Ordinance 13-48.

Michael Serpe:

Second.

John Steinbrink:

Motion by Clyde, second by Mike for adoption of Ordinance 13-48. Any further discussion on this item?

ALLEN MOVED TO ADOPT ORDINANCE #13-48 TO AMEND CHAPTER 370 RELATING TO CERTIFICATES OF OCCUPANCY OR COMPLIANCE; SECONDED BY SERPE; MOTION CARRIED 5-0.

Michael Serpe:

Move approval of 13-49.

Steve Kumorkiewicz:

Second.

John Steinbrink:

Motion by Mike, second by Steve for adoption of Ordinance 13-49. Any further discussion on this item? Those in favor?

SERPE MOVED TO ADOPT ORDINANCE #13-49 TO AMEND CHAPTER 180 OF THE MUNICIPAL CODE RELATING TO FIRE & RESCUE OCCUPANCY COMPLIANCE; SECONDED BY KUMORKIEWICZ; MOTION CARRIED 5-0.

H. Consider Operator License Applications on file.

Jane Romanowski:

Just two applications tonight, Gayle Rodgers, Jacob Tappa. They've met the requirements of the ordinance.

:

Motion to approve.

Monica Yuhas:

Second.

John Steinbrink:

Motion by Clyde, second by Monica for approval of operator license applications on file. Any further discussion on any of the applications?

ALLEN MOVED TO APPROVE THE OPERATOR LICENSES FOR GAYLE RODGERS, JACOB TAPPA; SECONDED BY YUHAS; MOTION CARRIED 5-0.

8. VILLAGE BOARD COMMENTS

Michael Serpe:

I have one. On Saturday, Mr. President, as you are aware that we had the dedication to the dog park. And I can't tell you how nice of a job that, where's John, John, Jr. and the staff and the Parks Commission did by putting that together and mapping it out. It's a nice asset to the Village. Very, very, very nice job well done. Nice job, John.

Steve Kumorkiewicz:

I concur with Mike. You guys did an excellent job in that park. It was nice to see all the dogs socializing. That's the first time I saw my dog jump in the water. He's not a water dog, he's a [inaudible] dog. But that was great. It's a big asset to the community. And everybody who was over there was impressed [inaudible]. So thank you, John.

Mike Pollocoff:

Everybody was getting along there. Maybe we could adopt it as a retreat site for Congress and they could play together and sniff each other and whatever it takes to get them to come to an agreement.

Clyde Allen:

Thank you, Mr. President. I just want to thank Cub Scout Nash Pack 567, the Webelos for leading us on our pledge. I hope they got something out of the meeting here tonight. Thank you.

John Steinbrink:

I also want to congratulate Jean Werbie and Jane Romanowski. They're most recent grandmas. There's been a rash of that in the Village here. So they're both very proud. They display a lot of pictures. Tonight we received candy from Jean, and congratulations on your new additions to the family. Also we've received a few calls on Halloween. Some people are for the date, some people are against. And I think I've received calls on both sides. And I think the one thing we have to remember is the fact that a lot of folks do work in the Village. There aren't sidewalks everywhere. And I believe the Police Chief recommended holding it on Sunday also. So for safety purposes I think we made a good choice with that. I know there's going to be a lot of people that are happy it's on Sunday, and hopefully the weather is going to be good. Because always the determining factor is whether we have the good weather on the actual Halloween day or the day designated as Halloween day.

Michael Serpe:

John, could I dovetail on yours. I received an email on a complaint that we should have had it the same time as the City. And I explained the problems that we used to have years ago when Halloween was held on the night of the date of the event. In a subdivision there's nothing stopping a homeowners association from getting together and organizing their own trick or treat night if they wish on the night. If the parents want to supervise that they're more than welcome to do so. So we're not going to stop anybody from doing this.

But unfortunately with the City with what they do to us now is kids 9, 10, 11, 12 years old that are out don't know the boundaries between Pleasant Prairie and the City. And there are going to be trick or treaters going out on Sunday crossing to the City and Thursday coming across into the Village and it's just not going to mesh. And, plus again you hit it right on the head, John, the safety factor of things that can happen. And I remember years ago when it was pretty rough and that's why the changes were made. Obviously the people that are having kids today weren't born at that time and don't know what went on, but they may soon find out. I hope it goes well but we'll see.

John Steinbrink:

Thank you, Mike. As we know the days do get shorter and darkness comes sooner, and we don't want people walking in the roadway in the dark if we can help.

Steve Kumorkiewicz:

Many, many years ago we used to have [inaudible] police in Pleasant Prairie. They used to be [inaudible] subdivision one of two guys in uniform walking around the Township at the time so it could be more controlled. There didn't used to be all the [inaudible] we have today, all the problems we've got today. Besides that it appears that the parents have more activities going with the kids in nights, so that's going to interfere with their life, too, if we do that. So I think that's the best way to deal with that on Sunday everybody is home, they go in daylight [inaudible].

John Steinbrink:

Also, on Saturday the Prairie Farms Trail opens, is that correct, John? And what time does that open?

John Steinbrink, Jr.:

It starts at 9, we're having a grand opening ceremony at 8:30 a.m. at the north ball diamond at Lake Andrea.

John Steinbrink:

So we're going to have a race at that time.

John Steinbrink, Jr.:

That's correct.

John Steinbrink:

So if the scouts have time that day maybe come on down and view the race. Are they old enough to participate yet?

John Steinbrink, Jr.:

Yes, they are. They're probably faster than I am.

John Steinbrink:

I believe they're faster than all of us. So keep that in mind.

Clyde Allen:

Thank you. Dovetailing on that I had the opportunity to go on the trail. And, John, you spoke very highly of it and how beautiful it was. There were areas you didn't see houses, the power plant, you saw nothing, just natural surroundings, and it was more than you described. It was absolutely beautiful. I enjoyed it very much, so I hope a lot of people enjoy it.

John Steinbrink:

Any further Village Board comments?

9. CONSIDER ENTERING INTO EXECUTIVE SESSION PURSUANT TO SECTION 19.95(1)(G) WIS. STATS. TO CONFER WITH LEGAL COUNSEL FOR THE GOVERNMENTAL BODY WHO IS RENDERING ORAL OR WRITTEN ADVICE CONCERNING STRATEGY TO BE ADOPTED BY THE BODY WITH RESPECT TO LITIGATION IN WHICH IT IS OR IS LIKELY TO BECOME INVOLVED.

John Steinbrink:

And for this we need a roll call vote.

ALLEN MOVED TO ENTER INTO EXECUTIVE SESSION; SECONDED BY KUMORKIEWICZ; ROLL CALL VOTE – STEINBRINK – AYE; YUHAS – AYE; KUMORKIEWICZ – AYE; ALLEN – AYE; SERPE – AYE; MOTION CARRIED 5-0.

John Steinbrink:

And as a note on Item 10 the Board will return to open session for the purpose of adjournment only. No other business will be conducted.

10. RETURN TO OPEN SESSION AND ADJOURNMENT

ALLEN MOVED TO RETURN TO OPEN SESSION AND ADJOURN THE MEETING; SECONDED BY KUMORKIEWICZ; MOTION CARRIED 5-0 AND MEETING ADJOURNED AT 7:45 P.M.